



SC  
#8  
12/3/02

PATENT  
Attorney Docket No. 187831

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of: Lawton et al.

**RECEIVED**

Group Art Unit: 2176

NOV 27 2002

Serial No. 09/260,837

Examiner: Singh, Rachna **Technology Center 2100**

Filed: March 2, 1999

For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR  
BROWSING A LOW-RESOLUTION  
IMAGE

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this Response To Office Action Dated August 27, 2002 (along with any documents referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Date: 11/20/02 Susan Matz

**RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION DATED AUGUST 27, 2002**

Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated August 27, 2002, please consider the following remarks. In view of these remarks, Applicants respectfully request favorable reconsideration of the claims.

REMARKS

As in the prior action, claims 1-30 stand rejected as obvious in view of several combinations of references. In particular, claims 1-3, 7-12, 14, 18, 22, and 23 are rejected under §103(a) as obvious over U.S. Pat. No. 6,272,484 to Martin et al. (hereinafter "*Martin*") in view of *Awareness Through Fisheye Views in Relaxed-WYSIWIS Groupware*, (1996)(hereinafter "*GroupLab*"), and *Adobe FrameMaker and SGML 5.5* (1997 Adobe Systems)(hereinafter "*FrameMaker*"). Claims 4 and 5 stand rejected as obvious in view of the aforementioned